



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| | | | | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/772,445 | 02/06/2004 | Ravi Upasani | 1483.0340003 | 5165 |
| 26111 7590 10/19/2007 STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C. 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005 | | | EXAMINER JAISLE, CECILIA M | |
| | | | ART UNIT 1624 | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | MAIL DATE 10/19/2007 | DELIVERY MODE PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/772,445

Applicant(s)

UPASANI ET AL.

Examiner

Cecilia M. Jaisle

Art Unit

1624

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Cecilia M. Jaisle.

(3) _____

(2) Joseph S. Ostroff.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 10 October 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 18-34.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available; a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

JAMES O. WILSON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The

Restriction Requirement issued 09-10-2007 is withdrawn. A new Office Action will be forthcoming and will set forth a new Restriction Requirement agreed to during the Interview of 10-10-2007 and will include an action on the patentable merits of the claims.

The new Restriction requirement will be as follows:

- I. Claims 18-23, drawn to Formula III compounds, where R7-R6 taken together are any of the groups recited in claim 19, classified in class 544, subclass 234, and their pharmaceutical compounds, classified in class 514, subclass 248.
- II. Claims 18-21 and 23, drawn to all other compounds of Formula III, variously classified in 544, and their pharmaceutical compositions, variously classified in class 514.
- III. Claims 24-34, drawn to pharmaceutical methods using Group I, classified in class 514, subclass 238.
- IV. Claims 24-34, drawn to pharmaceutical methods using Group II, variously classified in class 514.

The various reasonings supportive of this Restriction requirement are as set forth in the Restriction Requirement issued 09-10-2007.

